



INDIANA  
DEPARTMENT OF  
CHILD  
SERVICES

*Protecting our children,  
families and future*

# Department of Child Services Practice Indicator Reports For June 2008

## Region 05 June 2008



Published  
July 2008



# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Trended Repeat Maltreatment

For June 2007 to June 2008


For June 2008

County	Children With Substantiated Allegations During Rolling Quarter		
	Count	Children With No Prior Maltreatment in the Prior 12 Months	Absence of Repeat Maltreatment Percent
Statewide	7,953	6,987	87.85%
Region 5	302	253	83.77%
Benton	13	13	100.00%


Statewide Absence of Repeat Maltreatment



Region 5 Absence of Repeat Maltreatment



Benton County Absence of Repeat Maltreatment





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Trended Repeat Maltreatment

For June 2007 to June 2008


### For June 2008

County	Children With Substantiated Allegations During Rolling Quarter		
	Count	Children With No Prior Maltreatment in the Prior 12 Months	Absence of Repeat Maltreatment Percent
Statewide	7,953	6,987	87.85%
Region 5	302	253	83.77%
Carroll	13	7	53.85%


### Statewide Absence of Repeat Maltreatment



### Region 5 Absence of Repeat Maltreatment



### Carroll County Absence of Repeat Maltreatment





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Trended Repeat Maltreatment

For June 2007 to June 2008


### For June 2008

County	Children With Substantiated Allegations During Rolling Quarter		
	Count	Children With No Prior Maltreatment in the Prior 12 Months	Absence of Repeat Maltreatment Percent
Statewide	7,953	6,987	87.85%
Region 5	302	253	83.77%
Clinton	51	46	90.20%


### Statewide Absence of Repeat Maltreatment



### Region 5 Absence of Repeat Maltreatment



### Clinton County Absence of Repeat Maltreatment





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Trended Repeat Maltreatment

For June 2007 to June 2008


### For June 2008

County	Children With Substantiated Allegations During Rolling Quarter		
	Count	Children With No Prior Maltreatment in the Prior 12 Months	Absence of Repeat Maltreatment Percent
Statewide	7,953	6,987	87.85%
Region 5	302	253	83.77%
Fountain	28	19	67.86%


### Statewide Absence of Repeat Maltreatment



### Region 5 Absence of Repeat Maltreatment



### Fountain County Absence of Repeat Maltreatment





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Trended Repeat Maltreatment

For June 2007 to June 2008


### For June 2008

County	Children With Substantiated Allegations During Rolling Quarter		
	Count	Children With No Prior Maltreatment in the Prior 12 Months	Absence of Repeat Maltreatment Percent
Statewide	7,953	6,987	87.85%
Region 5	302	253	83.77%
Tippecanoe	181	152	83.98%


### Statewide Absence of Repeat Maltreatment



### Region 5 Absence of Repeat Maltreatment



### Tippecanoe County Absence of Repeat Maltreatment





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Trended Repeat Maltreatment

For June 2007 to June 2008


For June 2008

County	Children With Substantiated Allegations During Rolling Quarter		
	Count	Children With No Prior Maltreatment in the Prior 12 Months	Absence of Repeat Maltreatment Percent
Statewide	7,953	6,987	87.85%
Region 5	302	253	83.77%
Warren	1	1	100.00%


Statewide Absence of Repeat Maltreatment



Region 5 Absence of Repeat Maltreatment



Warren County Absence of Repeat Maltreatment





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Trended Repeat Maltreatment

For June 2007 to June 2008


### For June 2008

County	Children With Substantiated Allegations During Rolling Quarter		
	Count	Children With No Prior Maltreatment in the Prior 12 Months	Absence of Repeat Maltreatment Percent
Statewide	7,953	6,987	87.85%
Region 5	302	253	83.77%
White	15	15	100.00%


### Statewide Absence of Repeat Maltreatment



### Region 5 Absence of Repeat Maltreatment



### White County Absence of Repeat Maltreatment






# DCS Practice Indicator Report Visitations and Contacts Report

Average Visitations and Contacts per Child During the Report Month by County  
For June 2007 to June 2008


June 2008

County	FCM Contacts With Families Occuring During the Month	Average FCM Contacts With Families Occuring During the Month	Children with Visitations Occuring During the Month	Average Visitations Occuring per Child with Contact During the Month
Statewide	10,025	2.22	950	2.92
Region 5	465	2.51	57	3.21
Benton	55	3.18	10	5.50


Statewide Average Visitations and Contacts



Region 5 Average Visitations and Contacts



Benton County Average Visitations and Contacts






# DCS Practice Indicator Report Visitations and Contacts Report

Average Visitations and Contacts per Child During the Report Month by County  
For June 2007 to June 2008


June 2008

County	FCM Contacts With Families Occuring During the Month	Average FCM Contacts With Families Occuring During the Month	Children with Visitations Occuring During the Month	Average Visitations Occuring per Child with Contact During the Month
Statewide	10,025	2.22	950	2.92
Region 5	465	2.51	57	3.21
Carroll	8	2.25	0	0.00


Statewide Average Visitations and Contacts



Region 5 Average Visitations and Contacts



Carroll County Average Visitations and Contacts






# DCS Practice Indicator Report Visitations and Contacts Report

Average Visitations and Contacts per Child During the Report Month by County  
For June 2007 to June 2008


June 2008

County	FCM Contacts With Families Occuring During the Month	Average FCM Contacts With Families Occuring During the Month	Children with Visitations Occuring During the Month	Average Visitations Occuring per Child with Contact During the Month
Statewide	10,025	2.22	950	2.92
Region 5	465	2.51	57	3.21
Clinton	60	2.58	8	1.88


Statewide Average Visitations and Contacts



Region 5 Average Visitations and Contacts



Clinton County Average Visitations and Contacts






# DCS Practice Indicator Report Visitations and Contacts Report

Average Visitations and Contacts per Child During the Report Month by County  
For June 2007 to June 2008


June 2008

County	FCM Contacts With Families Occuring During the Month	Average FCM Contacts With Families Occuring During the Month	Children with Visitations Occuring During the Month	Average Visitations Occuring per Child with Contact During the Month
Statewide	10,025	2.22	950	2.92
Region 5	465	2.51	57	3.21
Fountain	54	2.74	15	2.40


Statewide Average Visitations and Contacts



Region 5 Average Visitations and Contacts



Fountain County Average Visitations and Contacts





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Visitations and Contacts Report

Average Visitations and Contacts per Child During the Report Month by County  
For June 2007 to June 2008


June 2008

County	FCM Contacts With Families Occuring During the Month	Average FCM Contacts With Families Occuring During the Month	Children with Visitations Occuring During the Month	Average Visitations Occuring per Child with Contact During the Month
Statewide	10,025	2.22	950	2.92
Region 5	465	2.51	57	3.21
Tippecanoe	243	2.20	23	3.30


Statewide Average Visitations and Contacts



Region 5 Average Visitations and Contacts



Tippecanoe County Average Visitations and Contacts





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Visitations and Contacts Report

Average Visitations and Contacts per Child During the Report Month by County  
For June 2007 to June 2008


June 2008

County	FCM Contacts With Families Occuring During the Month	Average FCM Contacts With Families Occuring During the Month	Children with Visitations Occuring During the Month	Average Visitations Occuring per Child with Contact During the Month
Statewide	10,025	2.22	950	2.92
Region 5	465	2.51	57	3.21
Warren	12	1.75	0	0.00


Statewide Average Visitations and Contacts



Region 5 Average Visitations and Contacts



Warren County Average Visitations and Contacts





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Visitations and Contacts Report

Average Visitations and Contacts per Child During the Report Month by County  
For June 2007 to June 2008


June 2008

County	FCM Contacts With Families Occuring During the Month	Average FCM Contacts With Families Occuring During the Month	Children with Visitations Occuring During the Month	Average Visitations Occuring per Child with Contact During the Month
Statewide	10,025	2.22	950	2.92
Region 5	465	2.51	57	3.21
White	33	3.48	1	1.00


Statewide Average Visitations and Contacts



Region 5 Average Visitations and Contacts



White County Average Visitations and Contacts





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Finalized Adoption Rates and Reunification Rates

### For June 2007 to June 2008

June 2008


Location	Out of Home CHINS with TPR at the end of May 2008	Finalized Adoptions Entered During Jun 2008	Percent of Adoptions to CHINS TPRs	Out of Home CHINS without TPR at the end of May 2008	Reunifications Occuring During Jun 2008	Percent Reunifications to CHINS without TPR
Statewide	1,274	136	10.68%	9,064	364	4.02%
Region 5	34	5	14.71%	219	18	8.22%
Benton County	0	0	NA	8	1	12.50%

Statewide Rates




Adoption Rate Reunification Rate

Region 5 Rates



Adoption Rate Reunification Rate

Benton County Rates



Adoption Rate Reunification Rate





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Finalized Adoption Rates and Reunification Rates

### For June 2007 to June 2008


June 2008

Location	Out of Home CHINS with TPR at the end of May 2008	Finalized Adoptions Entered During Jun 2008	Percent of Adoptions to CHINS TPRs	Out of Home CHINS without TPR at the end of May 2008	Reunifications Occuring During Jun 2008	Percent Reunifications to CHINS without TPR
Statewide	1,274	136	10.68%	9,064	364	4.02%
Region 5	34	5	14.71%	219	18	8.22%
Carroll County	0	0	NA	0	0	NA


Statewide Rates



Region 5 Rates



Carroll County Rates





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Finalized Adoption Rates and Reunification Rates

### For June 2007 to June 2008

June 2008


Location	Out of Home CHINS with TPR at the end of May 2008	Finalized Adoptions Entered During Jun 2008	Percent of Adoptions to CHINS TPRs	Out of Home CHINS without TPR at the end of May 2008	Reunifications Occuring During Jun 2008	Percent Reunifications to CHINS without TPR
Statewide	1,274	136	10.68%	9,064	364	4.02%
Region 5	34	5	14.71%	219	18	8.22%
Clinton County	2	2	100.00%	22	0	0.00%

Statewide Rates




Adoption Rate Reunification Rate

Region 5 Rates



Adoption Rate Reunification Rate

Clinton County Rates



Adoption Rate Reunification Rate





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Finalized Adoption Rates and Reunification Rates

### For June 2007 to June 2008

June 2008


Location	Out of Home CHINS with TPR at the end of May 2008	Finalized Adoptions Entered During Jun 2008	Percent of Adoptions to CHINS TPRs	Out of Home CHINS without TPR at the end of May 2008	Reunifications Occuring During Jun 2008	Percent Reunifications to CHINS without TPR
Statewide	1,274	136	10.68%	9,064	364	4.02%
Region 5	34	5	14.71%	219	18	8.22%
Fountain County	10	0	0.00%	31	6	19.35%

Statewide Rates




Adoption Rate Reunification Rate

Region 5 Rates



Adoption Rate Reunification Rate

Fountain County Rates



Adoption Rate Reunification Rate





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Finalized Adoption Rates and Reunification Rates

### For June 2007 to June 2008

June 2008


Location	Out of Home CHINS with TPR at the end of May 2008	Finalized Adoptions Entered During Jun 2008	Percent of Adoptions to CHINS TPRs	Out of Home CHINS without TPR at the end of May 2008	Reunifications Occuring During Jun 2008	Percent Reunifications to CHINS without TPR
Statewide	1,274	136	10.68%	9,064	364	4.02%
Region 5	34	5	14.71%	219	18	8.22%
Tippecanoe County	19	3	15.79%	140	10	7.14%

Statewide Rates




Adoption Rate Reunification Rate

Region 5 Rates



Adoption Rate Reunification Rate

Tippecanoe County Rates



Adoption Rate Reunification Rate





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Finalized Adoption Rates and Reunification Rates

### For June 2007 to June 2008


June 2008

Location	Out of Home CHINS with TPR at the end of May 2008	Finalized Adoptions Entered During Jun 2008	Percent of Adoptions to CHINS TPRs	Out of Home CHINS without TPR at the end of May 2008	Reunifications Occuring During Jun 2008	Percent Reunifications to CHINS without TPR
Statewide	1,274	136	10.68%	9,064	364	4.02%
Region 5	34	5	14.71%	219	18	8.22%
Warren County	0	0	NA	2	0	0.00%


Statewide Rates



Region 5 Rates



Warren County Rates





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Finalized Adoption Rates and Reunification Rates

### For June 2007 to June 2008

June 2008


Location	Out of Home CHINS with TPR at the end of May 2008	Finalized Adoptions Entered During Jun 2008	Percent of Adoptions to CHINS TPRs	Out of Home CHINS without TPR at the end of May 2008	Reunifications Occuring During Jun 2008	Percent Reunifications to CHINS without TPR
Statewide	1,274	136	10.68%	9,064	364	4.02%
Region 5	34	5	14.71%	219	18	8.22%
White County	3	0	0.00%	16	1	6.25%

Statewide Rates




Adoption Rate Reunification Rate

Region 5 Rates



Adoption Rate Reunification Rate

White County Rates



Adoption Rate Reunification Rate





# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## Length of Stay in Out of Home Placement


### Children in Out of Home Placement by Length of Time Since Latest Removal

#### For June 2007 to June 2008


**June 2008**

County	One Year 0 to 365 Days		Two Years 366 to 730 Days		Three Years 731 to 1095		Three + Years 1096 Days+		Length Available Per Child	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Average	Median
Statewide	4,910	47.6%	2,264	22.0%	1,310	12.7%	1,826	17.7%	636.04	398.00
Region 5	170	66.4%	40	15.6%	18	7.0%	28	10.9%	439.52	214.00
Benton	9	90.0%	0	0.0%	1	10.0%	0	0.0%	227.42	229.00


**Statewide Median Length**



**Region 5 Median Length**



**Benton County Median Length**





# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## Length of Stay in Out of Home Placement


### Children in Out of Home Placement by Length of Time Since Latest Removal

#### For June 2007 to June 2008


**June 2008**

County	One Year 0 to 365 Days		Two Years 366 to 730 Days		Three Years 731 to 1095		Three + Years 1096 Days+		Length Available Per Child	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Average	Median
Statewide	4,910	47.6%	2,264	22.0%	1,310	12.7%	1,826	17.7%	636.04	398.00
Region 5	170	66.4%	40	15.6%	18	7.0%	28	10.9%	439.52	214.00
Carroll	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.00	0.00


**Statewide Median Length**



**Region 5 Median Length**



**Carroll County Median Length**





# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## Length of Stay in Out of Home Placement


### Children in Out of Home Placement by Length of Time Since Latest Removal

#### For June 2007 to June 2008


#### June 2008

County	One Year 0 to 365 Days		Two Years 366 to 730 Days		Three Years 731 to 1095		Three + Years 1096 Days+		Length Available Per Child	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Average	Median
Statewide	4,910	47.6%	2,264	22.0%	1,310	12.7%	1,826	17.7%	636.04	398.00
Region 5	170	66.4%	40	15.6%	18	7.0%	28	10.9%	439.52	214.00
Clinton	12	50.0%	6	25.0%	3	12.5%	3	12.5%	569.06	320.50


#### Statewide Median Length



#### Region 5 Median Length



#### Clinton County Median Length





# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## Length of Stay in Out of Home Placement


### Children in Out of Home Placement by Length of Time Since Latest Removal

#### For June 2007 to June 2008


#### June 2008

County	One Year 0 to 365 Days		Two Years 366 to 730 Days		Three Years 731 to 1095		Three + Years 1096 Days+		Length Available Per Child	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Average	Median
Statewide	4,910	47.6%	2,264	22.0%	1,310	12.7%	1,826	17.7%	636.04	398.00
Region 5	170	66.4%	40	15.6%	18	7.0%	28	10.9%	439.52	214.00
Fountain	18	46.2%	14	35.9%	2	5.1%	5	12.8%	539.81	467.38


#### Statewide Median Length



#### Region 5 Median Length



#### Fountain County Median Length





# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## Length of Stay in Out of Home Placement


### Children in Out of Home Placement by Length of Time Since Latest Removal

#### For June 2007 to June 2008


#### June 2008

County	One Year 0 to 365 Days		Two Years 366 to 730 Days		Three Years 731 to 1095		Three + Years 1096 Days+		Length Available Per Child	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Average	Median
Statewide	4,910	47.6%	2,264	22.0%	1,310	12.7%	1,826	17.7%	636.04	398.00
Region 5	170	66.4%	40	15.6%	18	7.0%	28	10.9%	439.52	214.00
Tippecanoe	124	75.6%	14	8.5%	10	6.1%	16	9.8%	389.33	159.00


#### Statewide Median Length



#### Region 5 Median Length



#### Tippecanoe County Median Length





# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## Length of Stay in Out of Home Placement


### Children in Out of Home Placement by Length of Time Since Latest Removal

#### For June 2007 to June 2008


#### June 2008

County	One Year 0 to 365 Days		Two Years 366 to 730 Days		Three Years 731 to 1095		Three + Years 1096 Days+		Length Available Per Child	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Average	Median
Statewide	4,910	47.6%	2,264	22.0%	1,310	12.7%	1,826	17.7%	636.04	398.00
Region 5	170	66.4%	40	15.6%	18	7.0%	28	10.9%	439.52	214.00
Warren	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	299.91	299.50


#### Statewide Median Length



#### Region 5 Median Length



#### Warren County Median Length





# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## Length of Stay in Out of Home Placement


### Children in Out of Home Placement by Length of Time Since Latest Removal

#### For June 2007 to June 2008


#### June 2008

County	One Year 0 to 365 Days		Two Years 366 to 730 Days		Three Years 731 to 1095		Three + Years 1096 Days+		Length Available Per Child	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Average	Median
Statewide	4,910	47.6%	2,264	22.0%	1,310	12.7%	1,826	17.7%	636.04	398.00
Region 5	170	66.4%	40	15.6%	18	7.0%	28	10.9%	439.52	214.00
White	5	29.4%	6	35.3%	2	11.8%	4	23.5%	652.02	558.51


#### Statewide Median Length



#### Region 5 Median Length



#### White County Median Length





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Sibling Placement Report

### For June 2007 to June 2008


June 2008

Location	Count of Sibling Cases	Cases With All Children Placed Together	Percent Placed Together of Sibling Cases	Average Number of Children per Case
Statewide	2551	1686	66.09%	2.71
Region 5	61	43	70.49%	2.57
Benton County	3	3	100.00%	2.33


Statewide Percent Together



Region 5 Percent Together



Benton County Percent Together





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Sibling Placement Report

### For June 2007 to June 2008


June 2008

Location	Count of Sibling Cases	Cases With All Children Placed Together	Percent Placed Together of Sibling Cases	Average Number of Children per Case
Statewide	2551	1686	66.09%	2.71
Region 5	61	43	70.49%	2.57
Carroll County	0	0	NA	NA


Statewide Percent Together



Region 5 Percent Together



Carroll County Percent Together





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Sibling Placement Report

### For June 2007 to June 2008


June 2008

Location	Count of Sibling Cases	Cases With All Children Placed Together	Percent Placed Together of Sibling Cases	Average Number of Children per Case
Statewide	2551	1686	66.09%	2.71
Region 5	61	43	70.49%	2.57
Clinton County	7	5	71.43%	2.86


Statewide Percent Together



Region 5 Percent Together



Clinton County Percent Together





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Sibling Placement Report

### For June 2007 to June 2008


June 2008

Location	Count of Sibling Cases	Cases With All Children Placed Together	Percent Placed Together of Sibling Cases	Average Number of Children per Case
Statewide	2551	1686	66.09%	2.71
Region 5	61	43	70.49%	2.57
Fountain County	8	8	100.00%	2.75


Statewide Percent Together



Region 5 Percent Together



Fountain County Percent Together





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Sibling Placement Report

### For June 2007 to June 2008


June 2008

Location	Count of Sibling Cases	Cases With All Children Placed Together	Percent Placed Together of Sibling Cases	Average Number of Children per Case
Statewide	2551	1686	66.09%	2.71
Region 5	61	43	70.49%	2.57
Tippecanoe County	39	25	64.10%	2.49


Statewide Percent Together



Region 5 Percent Together



Tippecanoe County Percent Together





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Sibling Placement Report

### For June 2007 to June 2008


June 2008

Location	Count of Sibling Cases	Cases With All Children Placed Together	Percent Placed Together of Sibling Cases	Average Number of Children per Case
Statewide	2551	1686	66.09%	2.71
Region 5	61	43	70.49%	2.57
Warren County	0	0	NA	NA


Statewide Percent Together



Region 5 Percent Together



Warren County Percent Together





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Sibling Placement Report

### For June 2007 to June 2008


June 2008

Location	Count of Sibling Cases	Cases With All Children Placed Together	Percent Placed Together of Sibling Cases	Average Number of Children per Case
Statewide	2551	1686	66.09%	2.71
Region 5	61	43	70.49%	2.57
White County	4	2	50.00%	2.75


Statewide Percent Together



Region 5 Percent Together



White County Percent Together






# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## Average Number of Placement Moves


### For June 2007 to June 2008

June 2008	
County	Average Number of Placements Per Child
Statewide	2.81
Region 5	2.63
Benton	2.09


Statewide Average Number of Placements



Region 5 Average Number of Placements



Benton County Average Number of Placements








# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## Average Number of Placement Moves

### For June 2007 to June 2008

June 2008	
County	Average Number of Placements Per Child
Statewide	2.81
Region 5	2.63
Carroll	NA








# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## Average Number of Placement Moves

### For June 2007 to June 2008

June 2008	
County	Average Number of Placements Per Child
Statewide	2.81
Region 5	2.63
Clinton	2.46








# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## Average Number of Placement Moves

### For June 2007 to June 2008

June 2008	
County	Average Number of Placements Per Child
Statewide	2.81
Region 5	2.63
Fountain	2.93








# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## Average Number of Placement Moves

### For June 2007 to June 2008

June 2008	
County	Average Number of Placements Per Child
Statewide	2.81
Region 5	2.63
Tippecanoe	2.63








# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## Average Number of Placement Moves

### For June 2007 to June 2008

June 2008	
County	Average Number of Placements Per Child
Statewide	2.81
Region 5	2.63
Warren	2.00








# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## Average Number of Placement Moves

### For June 2007 to June 2008

June 2008	
County	Average Number of Placements Per Child
Statewide	2.81
Region 5	2.63
White	2.65






# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## Locally Placed CHINS


### For June 2007 to June 2008

June 2008			
Location	Total Out of Home Placements	Placed in Same County	Percent Locally Placed
Statewide	10310	7570	73.42%
Region 5	256	143	55.86%
Benton County	10	5	50.00%


Statewide Percent Placed Locally



Region 5 Percent Placed Locally



Benton County Percent Placed Locally






# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## Locally Placed CHINS


### For June 2007 to June 2008

June 2008			
Location	Total Out of Home Placements	Placed in Same County	Percent Locally Placed
Statewide	10310	7570	73.42%
Region 5	256	143	55.86%
Carroll County	0	0	NA


Statewide Percent Placed Locally



Region 5 Percent Placed Locally



Carroll County Percent Placed Locally






# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## Locally Placed CHINS


### For June 2007 to June 2008

June 2008			
Location	Total Out of Home Placements	Placed in Same County	Percent Locally Placed
Statewide	10310	7570	73.42%
Region 5	256	143	55.86%
Clinton County	24	14	58.33%


Statewide Percent Placed Locally



Region 5 Percent Placed Locally



Clinton County Percent Placed Locally






# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## Locally Placed CHINS


### For June 2007 to June 2008

June 2008			
Location	Total Out of Home Placements	Placed in Same County	Percent Locally Placed
Statewide	10310	7570	73.42%
Region 5	256	143	55.86%
Fountain County	39	8	20.51%


Statewide Percent Placed Locally



Region 5 Percent Placed Locally



Fountain County Percent Placed Locally






# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## Locally Placed CHINS


### For June 2007 to June 2008

June 2008			
Location	Total Out of Home Placements	Placed in Same County	Percent Locally Placed
Statewide	10310	7570	73.42%
Region 5	256	143	55.86%
Tippecanoe County	164	104	63.41%


Statewide Percent Placed Locally



Region 5 Percent Placed Locally



Tippecanoe County Percent Placed Locally






# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## Locally Placed CHINS


### For June 2007 to June 2008

June 2008			
Location	Total Out of Home Placements	Placed in Same County	Percent Locally Placed
Statewide	10310	7570	73.42%
Region 5	256	143	55.86%
Warren County	2	0	0.00%


Statewide Percent Placed Locally



Region 5 Percent Placed Locally



Warren County Percent Placed Locally






# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## Locally Placed CHINS


### For June 2007 to June 2008

June 2008			
Location	Total Out of Home Placements	Placed in Same County	Percent Locally Placed
Statewide	10310	7570	73.42%
Region 5	256	143	55.86%
White County	17	12	70.59%


Statewide Percent Placed Locally



Region 5 Percent Placed Locally



White County Percent Placed Locally





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## CHINS Placements by County

### For June 2007 to June 2008


June 2008

County	Total CHINS	Own Home		Relative Home		Foster Homes		Residential Care		Other	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14358	4048	28.19%	2556	17.80%	5784	40.28%	1512	10.53%	458	3.19%
Region 5	392	136	34.69%	52	13.27%	151	38.52%	43	10.97%	10	2.55%
Benton County	11	1	9.09%	4	36.36%	5	45.45%	1	9.09%	0	0.00%


Statewide Percentage Breakdown



Region 5 Percentage Breakdown



Benton County Percentage Breakdown





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## CHINS Placements by County

### For June 2007 to June 2008


June 2008

County	Total CHINS	Own Home		Relative Home		Foster Homes		Residential Care		Other	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14358	4048	28.19%	2556	17.80%	5784	40.28%	1512	10.53%	458	3.19%
Region 5	392	136	34.69%	52	13.27%	151	38.52%	43	10.97%	10	2.55%
Carroll County	0	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA


Statewide Percentage Breakdown



Region 5 Percentage Breakdown



Carroll County Percentage Breakdown





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## CHINS Placements by County

### For June 2007 to June 2008


June 2008

County	Total CHINS	Own Home		Relative Home		Foster Homes		Residential Care		Other	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14358	4048	28.19%	2556	17.80%	5784	40.28%	1512	10.53%	458	3.19%
Region 5	392	136	34.69%	52	13.27%	151	38.52%	43	10.97%	10	2.55%
Clinton County	38	14	36.84%	5	13.16%	14	36.84%	2	5.26%	3	7.89%


Statewide Percentage Breakdown



Region 5 Percentage Breakdown



Clinton County Percentage Breakdown






# DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS Placements by County For June 2007 to June 2008


June 2008

County	Total CHINS	Own Home		Relative Home		Foster Homes		Residential Care		Other	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14358	4048	28.19%	2556	17.80%	5784	40.28%	1512	10.53%	458	3.19%
Region 5	392	136	34.69%	52	13.27%	151	38.52%	43	10.97%	10	2.55%
Fountain County	47	8	17.02%	5	10.64%	26	55.32%	7	14.89%	1	2.13%


Statewide Percentage Breakdown



Region 5 Percentage Breakdown



Fountain County Percentage Breakdown






# DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS Placements by County For June 2007 to June 2008


June 2008

County	Total CHINS	Own Home		Relative Home		Foster Homes		Residential Care		Other	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14358	4048	28.19%	2556	17.80%	5784	40.28%	1512	10.53%	458	3.19%
Region 5	392	136	34.69%	52	13.27%	151	38.52%	43	10.97%	10	2.55%
Tippecanoe County	267	103	38.58%	35	13.11%	96	35.96%	27	10.11%	6	2.25%


Statewide Percentage Breakdown



Region 5 Percentage Breakdown



Tippecanoe County Percentage Breakdown






# DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS Placements by County For June 2007 to June 2008


## June 2008

County	Total CHINS	Own Home		Relative Home		Foster Homes		Residential Care		Other	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14358	4048	28.19%	2556	17.80%	5784	40.28%	1512	10.53%	458	3.19%
Region 5	392	136	34.69%	52	13.27%	151	38.52%	43	10.97%	10	2.55%
Warren County	3	1	33.33%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	2	66.67%	0	0.00%


### Statewide Percentage Breakdown



### Region 5 Percentage Breakdown



### Warren County Percentage Breakdown






# DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS Placements by County For June 2007 to June 2008


## June 2008

County	Total CHINS	Own Home		Relative Home		Foster Homes		Residential Care		Other	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14358	4048	28.19%	2556	17.80%	5784	40.28%	1512	10.53%	458	3.19%
Region 5	392	136	34.69%	52	13.27%	151	38.52%	43	10.97%	10	2.55%
White County	26	9	34.62%	3	11.54%	10	38.46%	4	15.38%	0	0.00%


Statewide Percentage Breakdown



Region 5 Percentage Breakdown



White County Percentage Breakdown





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

### Trended for June 2007 to June 2008

April 2008 to June 2008


Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	21,780	15.11%	8,065	27.64%
Region 5	1,085	17.97%	308	24.35%
Benton	36	8.33%	13	23.08%

Statewide Percent Removal




Percent Removal

Region 5 Percent Removal



Percent Removal

Benton County Percent Removal



Percent Removal





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

### Trended for June 2007 to June 2008


April 2008 to June 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	21,780	15.11%	8,065	27.64%
Region 5	1,085	17.97%	308	24.35%
Carroll	56	19.64%	13	7.69%


**Statewide Percent Removal**



**Region 5 Percent Removal**



**Carroll County Percent Removal**





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

### Trended for June 2007 to June 2008


**April 2008 to June 2008**

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	21,780	15.11%	8,065	27.64%
Region 5	1,085	17.97%	308	24.35%
Clinton	148	24.32%	51	13.73%


**Statewide Percent Removal**



**Region 5 Percent Removal**



**Clinton County Percent Removal**





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

### Trended for June 2007 to June 2008

April 2008 to June 2008


Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	21,780	15.11%	8,065	27.64%
Region 5	1,085	17.97%	308	24.35%
Fountain	71	15.49%	28	21.43%

Statewide Percent Removal




Percent Removal

Region 5 Percent Removal



Percent Removal

Fountain County Percent Removal



Percent Removal





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

### Trended for June 2007 to June 2008

April 2008 to June 2008


Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	21,780	15.11%	8,065	27.64%
Region 5	1,085	17.97%	308	24.35%
Tippecanoe	642	15.89%	187	28.34%

Statewide Percent Removal




Percent Removal

Region 5 Percent Removal



Percent Removal

Tippecanoe County Percent Removal



Percent Removal





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

### Trended for June 2007 to June 2008

April 2008 to June 2008


Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	21,780	15.11%	8,065	27.64%
Region 5	1,085	17.97%	308	24.35%
Warren	23	8.70%	1	0.00%

Statewide Percent Removal




Percent Removal

Region 5 Percent Removal



Percent Removal

Warren County Percent Removal



Percent Removal





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

### Trended for June 2007 to June 2008


April 2008 to June 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	21,780	15.11%	8,065	27.64%
Region 5	1,085	17.97%	308	24.35%
White	109	27.52%	15	33.33%

Statewide Percent Removal



Region 5 Percent Removal



White County Percent Removal

